

## UNITED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/926,246

Г

09/05/97

SULLIVAN

M

SLD-2035-1-2

QM12/1213

DIANE F. COVELLO, ESQ. DIVISION PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE 425 MEADOW STREET, P.O. BOX 901 CHICOPEE MA 01021-0901 EXAMINER

GRAHAM, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3711

3

DATE MAILED:

12/13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/926,246 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Mark S. Graham

Group Art Unit 3711

Sullivan



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on $Jun 10, 1$	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication	on is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever a. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	·
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pater	nt Drawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is,	/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED	D copies of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code)	
received in this national stage application	n from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449	9, Paper No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	PTO 040
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	<u>'</u>
SEE OFFICE AC	CTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/926,246

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Each of the following has been identified by the examiner as new matter:

1. In claim 1, the lower limit on the core diameter (29 mm), the upper limit on the core specific gravity (1.4), the lower limit of the intermediate layer thickness (1 mm), the upper limit of the specific gravity of the intermediate layer (1.2), the lower limit of the hardness of the intermediate layer (85 on JIS C), and the upper limit of the thickness range of the cover being claimed (3 mm) was not disclosed in the originally filed specification.

As an example the upper limit on the thickness range of the originally disclosed cover was 1.27 mm.

2. In claim 3, there is no basis provided for the applicant's reasoning that the now claimed hardness range of the cores and covers was inherent in the original specification. Without a basis in the original specification the now claimed ranges must be considered new matter.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,246

Art Unit: 3711

- 3. In claim 5, the lower limit of the diameter of the center core being claimed (29 mm) was not disclosed in the originally filed specification. The lower limit originally disclosed was 35.052 mm.
- 4. In claim 6, neither the upper or lower limit of the claimed difference in the specific gravity (.5 .1) was disclosed in the originally filed specification. By applicant's admission the limits of the difference disclosed were (.234 .164).
- 5. In claim 7, neither the upper limit (1.0) nor the lower limit (.9) were disclosed in the originally filed specification. While values within that range were disclosed they do not make inherent the upper and lower bounds of the claimed range.
- 6. In claim 8, neither the upper limit (100) nor the lower limit (85) were disclosed in the originally filed specification. While values within that range were disclosed they do not make inherent the upper and lower bounds of the claimed range.

Claims 1-8 of this application have been copied by the applicant from U. S. Patent No. 5,553,852. These claims are not patentable to the applicant because they constitute new matter under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph.

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgement in the interference.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,246

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number (703) 308-1355.

MSG

December 6, 1999

Mark S. Graham Mark S. Graham Mark S. Graham